UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,
Clsas Octavio Senches Defendant ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
In accordance with the Bail Deform A at 10 x 10 g
Defendant was present, represented by his attorney M. Way Defendant was held on 4/14, 2000 Assistant U.S. Attorney C. Mondell.
Assistant U.S. Attorney C. Mandell. The United States was represented by
PART I. PRESUMPTIONS APPLICABLE
/ / The last the second results of the secon
/ / The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and the defendant has been convicted of a prior offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) while on release
convicted of a prior offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and the defendant has been offense, and a period of not more than five (5) years has elapsed since the data of severity.
offense, and a period of not more than five (5) years has elapsed since the data of a federal, state or local
imprisonment, whichever is later.
This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no condition
This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the
/ / There is probable cause based upon (the indictment) (the facts found in Part IV below) to believe that the
defendant has committed an offense
A. for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or
Afor which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq., § 951 et seq., or § 955a et seq., OR
B. under 18 H.S.C. 6 924(c): use of a factorial
B under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c): use of a firearm during the commission of a felony. This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no conditions
This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.
No presumption applies. APR 1 2000
PART II. REBUTTAL OF PRESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE
/ / The defendant has not come forward with any evidence to rebut the applicable presumption[s], and he therefore will be ordered detained.
with oc ordered detailed.
/ / The defendant has come forward with evidence to rebut the applicable presumption[s] to wit:
Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United States.
ARI III. PROOF (WHERE PRESIMPTIONS DEPITEMENT OF THE PROOF OF THE PROO
THE Utilied States has proved to a prepondement of the
will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required, AND/OR
/ / The United States has proved by clear and assured.
/ / The United States has proved by clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.
PART IV. WRITTEN ENDINGS OF EACH COMMUNITY.
PART IV. WRITTEN FINDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION
The Court has taken into account the factors set out in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitted
Solowing selmin Palle has been convicted of the
and Darry har nally and land
unently on parale so robben
// Defendant, his attorney, and the AUSA have waived written findings.
PART V. DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION
The defendant is committed to the event down out to
The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a
corrections facility separate to the extent practicable from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending
appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court
of the United States or on the request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver
the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.
a count proceeding.
Dated: 4/14/09
AUSA, ATTY PTS
PATRICIA V. TRUMBULL
United States Magistrate Judge